



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/043,397	01/10/2002	Michael Jakobi	IN-5532	4652	
26922 75	590 02/25/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BASF CORPORATION ANNE GERRY SABOURIN			CLEVELAND,	CLEVELAND, MICHAEL B	
26701 TELEGE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SOUTHFIELD	, MI 48034-2442		1762		
•			DATE MAIL ED: 02/25/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	\mathcal{L}
* * * ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Į.
Office Asticus Communication	10/043,397	JAKOBI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Cleveland	1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT s, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ja	anuarv 2002.	•	
<u> </u>	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the merits i	s
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-61 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	÷ .	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been rule (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:		
1	·		

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-25, drawn to a method of making an electroluminescent, classified in class 427, subclass 66.
 - II. Claims 26-61, drawn to an electroluminescent product, classified in class 428, subclass 690.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as applying a conductive coating and then a dielectric coating containing a phosphor onto a sacrificial substrate, transferring the coatings onto a conductive substrate and removing the sacrificial substrate.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: A) particular dielectric additives, such as the ones listed in claims 31-34.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-30 and 35-61 are generic as to this issue.

6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: B) particular electroconductive additives, such as the ones listed in claims 37-41.

Art Unit: 1762

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. If applicant chooses an additive that is a core-and-shell additive (see claims 38, 40, and 41), Applicant must choose a single disclosed combination of core and shell. Currently, claims 1-36 and 42-61 are generic as to this issue.

7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: C) particular phosphors, such as the ones listed in claims 45-47.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-44 and 48-61 are generic as to this issue.

8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: D) particular functional resins, such as the ones listed in claim 52.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-51 and 53-61 are generic as to this issue.

9. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: E) particular cross-linking agents, such as the ones listed in claim 53.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-52 and 54-61 are generic as to this issue.

10. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: F) particular substrates, such as the ones listed in claims 18-21 and 56-60.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-17, 22-55 and 61 are generic as to this issue.

11. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of each species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1762

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

12. A telephone call was made to Anne Sabourin on 2/10/2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 13. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cleveland whose telephone number is (571) 272-1418. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday and alternate Mon, 8-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on (703) 272-1415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Cleveland

Patent Examiner

February 20, 2004